

AIT and Solomonoff Induction:

20.6.2019

a brief crash course

21.6.2019

Li, Vitányi, An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and its Applications, 3rd ed. (Springer, 2008)

Necessary background: computability, Turing machines etc.

→ will instead appeal to intuition.

Binary strings: $\{0,1\}^* = \{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, \dots\}$

Partial recursive function $\phi: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^*$:

Think of programming language (C, LISP, ...) running on a bounded computer, mapping input x to output $\phi(x)$ (sometimes crashes → $\phi(x)$ undefined, partial fn.)

Can encode pairs of strings (x, y) into single strings:

e.g. $\underbrace{11\dots 1}_{l(x)} x y =: \langle x, y \rangle \in \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow$ define $\phi(x, y) := \phi(\langle x, y \rangle)$.

Similarly, can "input more than two strings into the computer".

1. Plain and prefix Kolmogorov Complexity

Definition: Given any PRF ϕ , define

$$C_\phi(y|x) := \min \{l(p) \mid \phi(p, x) = y\}.$$

"shortest program to compute y from x ".

$$C_\phi(y) := C_\phi(y|\epsilon) \quad \text{"length of shortest program to compute } y \text{"}$$

Theorem: There exists a ("universal") PRF U such that

$$C_U(y|x) \leq C_\phi(y|x) + c_\phi \quad (c_\phi \in \mathbb{N} \text{ additive constant}).$$



Intuition: U is a "universal progr. language" (like C, C++) which, on input

- description of PRF ϕ

- input p to ϕ

simulates ϕ on input p and generates the corresponding output.

Then $C_\phi =$ length of description of ϕ .

\Rightarrow If U and V are both universal, $C_U(y|x) = C_V(y|x) + O(1)$.

"Invariance of Kolmogorov Complexity" Set $C(y|x) := C_U(y|x)$.

In IT, one doesn't care about additive constants.

Example: $C(x) \leq l(x) + O(1)$.

Proof: $\phi(x) := x$, PRF that copies input to output $\Rightarrow C_\phi(x) = l(x)$

$\Rightarrow C(x) = C_U(x) \leq C_\phi(x) + C_\phi$. □

Discuss Examples: $C(xx) \leq C(x) + O(1)$

$$C(\underbrace{111\dots 1}_n) \leq \log_2 n + O(1) = C(n) + O(1)$$



$$C(\pi_{1:n} | n) = O(1)$$

first n digits of $\pi = 3.14159\dots$

Is $C(x, y) \leq C(x) + C(y) + O(1)$?

$O(1)$ bits

run both: program for x program for y = program for (x, y) ?

Problem: don't know where program for x ends. So, NO!

\Rightarrow define $K(y|x)$ as shortest length of ~~self~~ self-delimiting program that computes y from x

0/1/0/1/1/0/1/0/1/1/...

input

random junk

\rightarrow machine needs to know "where input ends".

2

$$\Rightarrow K(x, y) \leq K(x) + K(y) + O(1)$$

$$K(x) \leq l(x) + K(l(x)) + O(1)$$

Most strings are random, i.e. incompressible:

For each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ with $K(x) \leq \underline{n} + K(n) - r$ does not exceed $2^{n-r+O(1)}$

2. One version of algorithmic probability

Idea: obtain one bit after the other (0,1,0,0,...) probabilistically

Prob. measure P : $P(\mathcal{E}) = 1$, $P(x0) + P(x1) = P(x)$.

E.g. repeated coin toss: $P(x) = (1/2)^{l(x)}$.

Semimeasure \bullet $m(\mathcal{E}) \leq 1$, $m(x0) + m(x1) \leq m(x)$.

Monotone machine T :
runs indefinitely.

input $\boxed{0|1|0|0| \dots}$
 \rightarrow read bits sequentially
 output $\boxed{1|1|1| \dots}$
 \rightarrow write bits sequentially

Write $T(p) = x^*$ if, after reading p (and not more!), the machine output starts with x .

$$\text{Set } M_T(x) := \sum_{p: T(p) = x^*} 2^{-l(p)}$$

This is a semimeasure. Interpretation: It's the prob. that, on coin-tossing random input bits, T will output x (and perhaps more).

Theorem: There exists a "universal" monotone machine U such that

$$M_U(x) \geq M_T(x) \cdot C_T \text{ for all } \text{M.M. } T, \text{ where } C_T \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

Set $M(x) := M_U(x)$. Conditional prob. of next bit, given previous ones

$$M(0|x) := \frac{M(x0)}{M(x)}, \quad M(1|x) := \frac{M(x1)}{M(x)}$$

Outlook/Exercise: The M_u are exactly the universal enumerable semimeasures. Obtain same class if input not chosen via iid coin toss, but \sim other computable method.

Setting for Solomonoff induction: Agent receives bits b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots



They are distributed according to some unknown computable measure μ .

Task: agent has to estimate the actual prob. $\mu(b|x_1^n)$ of the next bit $b = b_{n+1}$, given $x_1^n := b_1 b_2 \dots b_n$.

Computability of μ : There exists a compute program which, on inputs $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, outputs a $(1/m)$ -approximation to $\mu(x)$.

Theorem: Let μ be a computable measure. Then there is a set $S \subseteq \{0,1\}^\infty$ of μ -measure 1 such that for every $x \in S$

"universal induction"

$$|M(0|x_1^n) - \mu(0|x_1^n)| + |M(1|x_1^n) - \mu(1|x_1^n)| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Discuss! How can the agent achieve its task?

(Practical problem: M is not computable.)

- if x_1^n is very long and random (\sim coin tossing), then $M(b|x_1^n) \approx \frac{1}{2}$.
- Suppose all your previous bits are $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_n$ (perhaps because $\mu(1^m) = 1$ for every m , i.e. deterministic process)

$$M(0|1^n) = 2^{-K(n) + O(1)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

For "most" n , this is $\approx 2^{-\log(n) + O(1)} \approx 1/n \cdot O(1)$.

but e.g. $n = 10^{10^{10}}$ (power tower of height 10^{100}) has $K(n)$ very small
discuss!